



**2015 HLC Reaccreditation**

**Subcommittee: Integrity**

**Meeting Date: 10/23/2013**

**Meeting Time: 12:00-2:00PM**

**Members in Attendance**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Torres, Rodolfo H</li> <li>• Chasen, Jeff</li> <li>• Demantas, Ilana</li> <li>• Smith, Amy</li> <li>• Jan Sheldon</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chris Meiers</li> <li>• Colbert, Betty</li> <li>• Keel, William D.</li> <li>• Lim, Bob</li> <li>• MacNally, Susan</li> </ul> |
|---|---|

**Members Absent**

- Ngondi Kamatuka
- Reed II, David Glenn

**Topics Discussed**

- Committee planned the next meeting – Nov. 8<sup>th</sup>
- Committee discussed the draft from component C team:
  - Sub-component 1: Susan suggested investigating and including some details about how the Regent members are identified and the length of the terms; performance agreements reviewed and approved by the Board: [http://oirp.ku.edu/PA/Agreement\\_090304.pdf](http://oirp.ku.edu/PA/Agreement_090304.pdf)
  - Sub-component 2: Academic: Investigate the CTE initiative for course redesign, Foundation Professorships – support from the Board; include mention of Board as an advocate for funding – mention Legislative Tour and the benefit of coming to campus to see us and how we operate; discussion of how we are unique and different (mission and focus) from other KS Regent institutions – could even tie in mention of new admissions standards here (or in 4 or overview); Board supported the move from civil service employees to university support staffers (personnel classification change) – gives us more autonomy for salary and other benefits for this group
  - Sub-component 3: add reference to State Ethics requirements and statements of substantial interests (annual SSI reporting) impacts the individuals at the Board level as well
  - Sub-component 4: All four senates have counterpart councils at the Board level, COIs and student affairs officers meet regularly at the Board level, Rodolfo investigating if Steve Warren has a similar group of research leaders, and; discussed categorizing the groups according to their reporting function/line to the Board (CAO vs Faculty Senate Presidents)
    - Make PTR its own section, rather than a sub-bullet, or as an introductory statement to the section
  - Can we find a response from the Board about CFE? If so, we can weave this into the intro
- Check in with teams A and B on revisions, made plans for follow-up discussions

<b>Tasks</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Who is Responsible?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team C will incorporate comments from committee into draft</li> </ul>		Meiers and Lim
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team A will submit revised document to Campus Labs (or to Amy) by Nov 8<sup>th</sup></li> </ul>		Chasen and Keel
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team B will present their revised draft the week of Nov 18th</li> </ul>		Colbert and Reed

**Future Meetings (Date/Time/Location):** Friday, Nov 8<sup>th</sup> – team D (Sheldon & Demantas) presents, 12-2 (space in Alumni Association building worked well)